(13)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 148 OF 2005

PETITIONER : DILIP SINGH RATHORE aged about 53 years, son of Shri Pratap Singh Rathore, Sub Divisional Officer (Police), Bemetara, Durg (C.G.).

144/05
Presented by Shri K.V.L.B. Hazarika
13.07.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chahttisgarh, through Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Mantralaya D.K. S. Bhavan, Raipur, (C.G.).

2. Inspector General of Police, Shankar Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Superintendent of Police, S.P. Office, Collectorate, Durg (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 148/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	31-01-2005 Shri Kishor Bhaduri, Counsel for the petitioner. Heard on admission. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner against the show cause notice of Annexure P-1 whereby reversion of the petitioner to the post of Inspector has been proposed on the ground that a Departmental Enquiry is going on against him and because of the pendency of the said enquiry the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee have been kept in a sealed envelope and therefore, the promotion given to the petition earlier could not be regularized. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Departmental Enquiry is going on against the petitioner which was ordered to be conducted on the ground of some road accident occurred on 15-07-2002 and the enquiry was ordered to be conducted on 08-12-2003 i.e. more then one year after the incident and now the petitioner is proposed to be reverted on the ground of pendency of the enquiry. Learned counsel further submits that ends of justice would be served if the respondents are directed to conclude the enquiry within a specified period and till than the show cause notice of Annexure P-1 may be kept in abeyance.	

Gr. PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 148/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Shri Akhil Agrawal learned panel lawyer appearing for the respondents has no objection if the aforesaid prayer of the petitioner is granted. Under these circumstances I am of the view that it would be just and proper if the respondents are directed to conclude the Departmental Enquiry against the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of production of copy of this order and till then the show cause notice of Annexure P-1 should not be given effect to. The petition is disposed of. Ordered accordingly. C.C. as per rules.	Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge

PRL—ES/350—12/2000—50,000.